

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

006/048

APR 11 2007

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application Serial No. .... 10/081,256  
Filing Date ..... February 19, 2002  
Inventorship ..... David K. Ovard et al.  
Assignee..... Micron Technology, Inc.  
Group Art Unit..... 2618  
Examiner ..... Lana Le  
Customer No. .... 021567  
Attorney's Docket No. .... MI40-341  
Title: Wireless Communication Systems, Interrogators and Methods of Communicating  
Within a Wireless Communication System

**BRIEF OF APPELLANT**

To: Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents  
Commissioner of Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

From: James D. Shaurette (Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424)  
Wells, St. John, P.S.  
601 W. First Avenue, Suite 1300  
Spokane, WA 99201-3817

Appellant appeals from the Office Action mailed August 11, 2006 (hereinafter "Office Action" or "Action"). The Commissioner is authorized to charge the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 41.20(b)(2) to Deposit Account No. 23-0925.

-i-

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APR 11 2007

I.	<u>REAL PROPERTY IN INTEREST</u>	1
II.	<u>RELATED APPEALS AND REFERENCES</u>	1
III.	<u>STATUS OF CLAIMS</u>	1
IV.	<u>STATUS OF AMENDMENTS</u>	1
V.	<u>SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER</u>	2
VI.	<u>GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL</u>	4
VII.	<u>ARGUMENT</u>	6
 A. Positively-recited limitations of claims 1-9, 38, 40, 44-45, and 50-57 are not disclosed by MacLellan and the 102 rejection is improper.....		6
 B. The Office has failed to establish a proper basis to combine the teachings of Reis with the teachings of MacLellan and the 103 rejection of claims 8, 10-22, 31-39, 46, 47, 49 and 57 is improper.....		9
 C. Positively-recited limitations of claims 10-17 and 46 are not disclosed by the combination of MacLellan and Reis and the Office has failed to establish a prima facie 103 rejection .....		13
 D. Positively-recited limitations of claims 18-22 and 47 are not disclosed by the combination of MacLellan and Reis and the Office has failed to establish a prima facie 103 rejection .....		14
 E. Positively-recited limitations of claims 24-30, 39, 41 and 48 are not disclosed by MacLellan and the 102 rejection is improper .....		15
 F. Positively-recited limitations of claims 31-37 and 49 are not disclosed by the combination of MacLellan and Reis and the Office has failed to establish a prima facie 103 rejection .....		16

-ii-

<b>G. Positively-recited limitations of claims 4 and 27 are not disclosed by MacLellan and the 102 rejection is improper .....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>H. Positively-recited limitations of claims 13 and 34 are not disclosed by the combination of MacLellan and Reis and the Office has failed to establish a prima facie 103 rejection .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>I. Positively-recited limitations of claim 7 are not disclosed by MacLellan and the 102 rejection is improper .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>J. Positively-recited limitations of claim 16 are not disclosed by the combination of MacLellan and Reis and the Office has failed to establish a prima facie 103 rejection .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>K. Positively-recited limitations of claims 8 and 17 are not disclosed by the combination of MacLellan and Reis and the Office has failed to establish a prima facie 103 rejection .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>L. Positively-recited limitations of claim 51 are not disclosed by MacLellan and the 102 rejection is improper .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>M. Positively-recited limitations of claim 53 are not disclosed by MacLellan and the 102 rejection is improper .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>N. Positively-recited limitations of claims 54-55 are not disclosed by MacLellan and the 102 rejection is improper.....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>O. Positively-recited limitations of claim 55 are not disclosed by MacLellan and the 102 rejection is improper .....</b>	<b>24</b>

-iii-

P. Positively-recited limitations of claim 56 are not disclosed by MacLellan and the 102 rejection is improper.....24

Q. Conclusion.....25

VIII. APPENDIX A – THE CLAIMS INVOLVED IN THIS APPEAL.....A-1

IX. APPENDIX B – EVIDENCE APPENDIX .....B-1

X. APPENDIX C – RELATED PROCEDDINGS .....C-1

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

**I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST**

APR 11 2007

The real party in interest of this application is Micron Technology, Inc. as evidenced by the full assignment of the pending application to Micron Communications, Inc. recorded starting at Reel 009823, Frame 0769, and the merger document recorded starting at Reel 010392, Frame 0229 in the Assignment Branch of the Patent and Trademark Office. Micron Technology, Inc., is a corporation established under the laws of the State of Delaware and having a principal place of business at 8000 South Federal Way, Boise, ID 83706, U.S.A.

**II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

Appellant, Appellant's undersigned legal representative, and the assignee of the pending application are aware of no appeals or interferences which will directly affect, be directly affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

**III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS**

Claims 1-22, 24-41 and 44-57 are pending and stand rejected. Appellant appeals the rejection of claims 1-22, 24-41 and 44-57.

**IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS**

No amendments have been filed since the mailing of the Office Action.